

Duval County Public School
CAST Rubric for Teachers

Domain I: Planning and Preparation
FEAP Update 8/2013

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| 1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy | In planning and practice, teacher makes content errors or does not correct errors made by students. Teacher's plans and practice display little understanding of prerequisite relationships important to student learning of the content. Teacher displays little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student learning of the content. | Teacher is familiar with the important concepts in the discipline but displays lack of awareness of how these concepts relate to one another. Teacher's plans and practice indicate some awareness of prerequisite relationships, although such knowledge may be inaccurate or incomplete. Teacher's plans and practice reflect a limited range of pedagogical approaches to the discipline or to the students. | Teacher displays solid knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate to one another. Teacher's plans and practice reflect accurate understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts. Teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline. | Teacher displays extensive knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and how these relate both to one another and to other disciplines. Teacher's plans and practice reflect understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts and a link to necessary cognitive structures by students to ensure understanding. Teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline, anticipating student misconceptions. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.a | | | |
| Elements Include: | Knowledge of content and the structure of the discipline; Knowledge of prerequisite relationships; Knowledge of content-related pedagogy | | | |
| 1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students | Teacher demonstrates little or no understanding of how students learn, and little knowledge of students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs, and does not seek such understanding. | Teacher indicates the importance of understanding how students learn and the students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs, and attains this knowledge for the class as a whole. | Teacher understands the active nature of student learning, and attains information about levels of development for groups of students. The teacher also purposefully seeks knowledge from several sources of students' backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs, and attains this knowledge for groups of students. | Teacher actively seeks knowledge of students' levels of development and their backgrounds, cultures, skills, language proficiency, interests, and special needs from a variety of sources. This information is acquired for individual students. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.e; 3.h; 4.a | | | |
| Elements include: | Knowledge of child and adolescent development; Knowledge of the learning process; Knowledge of students' skills, knowledge, and language proficiency; | | | |
| 1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes | Outcomes represent low expectations for students and lack of rigor, nor do they all reflect important learning in the discipline. Outcomes are stated as activities, rather than as student learning. Outcomes reflect only one type of learning and only one discipline or strand, and are suitable for only some students. | Outcomes represent moderately high expectations and rigor. Some reflect important learning in the discipline, and consist of a combination of outcomes and activities. Outcomes reflect several types of learning, but teacher has made no attempt at coordination or integration. Most of the outcomes are suitable for most of the students in the class based on global assessments of student learning. | Most outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline. All the instructional outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning, and suggest viable methods of assessment. Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and opportunities for coordination. Outcomes take into account the varying needs of groups of students. | All outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline. The outcomes are clear, written in the form of student learning, and permit viable methods of assessment. Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and, where appropriate, represent opportunities for both coordination and integration. Outcomes take into account the varying needs of individual students. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.a | | | |
| Elements Include: | Value, sequence, and alignment; Clarity and balance; Suitability for diverse learners | | | |
| 1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources | The teacher demonstrates little or no familiarity with resources and/or technology to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, or to provide for students who need them. | The teacher demonstrates some familiarity with resources and technology available through the school or district to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, or to provide for students who need them. | The teacher is fully aware of the resources and technology available through the school or district to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, or to provide for students who need them. | The teacher seeks out resources and technology (as available) in and beyond the school or district in professional organizations, on the Internet, and in the community to enhance own knowledge, to use in teaching, and to provide for students who need them. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 2.g | | | |
| Elements include: | Resources and technology for classroom use; Resources and technology to extend content knowledge and pedagogy; Resources and technology for students | | | |

Duval County Public School
CAST Rubric for Teachers

Domain I: Planning and Preparation
FEAP Update 8/2013

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| 1e: Designing Coherent Instruction | The series of learning experiences is poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes and does not represent a coherent structure. The activities are not designed to engage students in active intellectual activity and have unrealistic time allocations. Instructional groups do not support the instructional outcomes and offer no variety. | Some of the learning activities and materials are suitable to the instructional outcomes, and represent a moderate cognitive challenge, but with no differentiation for different students. Instructional groups partially support the instructional outcomes, with an effort at providing some variety. The lesson or unit has a recognizable structure; the progression of activities is uneven, with most time allocations reasonable. | Teacher coordinates knowledge of content, of students, and of resources, to design a series of learning experiences aligned to instructional outcomes and suitable to groups of students. The learning activities have reasonable time allocations; they represent significant cognitive challenge, with some differentiation for different groups of students. The lesson or unit has a clear structure with appropriate and varied use of instructional groups. | Plans represent the coordination of in-depth content knowledge, understanding of different students' needs and available resources (including technology), resulting in a series of learning activities designed to engage students in high-level cognitive activity. These are differentiated, as appropriate, for individual learners. Instructional groups are varied as appropriate, with some opportunity for student choice. The lesson's or unit's structure is clear and allows for different pathways according to diverse student needs. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.b.c.f; 3e | | | |
| Elements include: | Learning activities; Instructional materials and resources; Instructional groups; Lesson and unit structure | | | |
| 1f: Designing Student Assessments | Assessment procedures are not congruent with instructional outcomes; the proposed approach contains no criteria or standards. Teacher has no plan to incorporate formative assessment in the lesson or unit, nor any plans to use assessment results in designing future instruction. | Some of the instructional outcomes are assessed through the proposed approach, but others are not. Assessment criteria and standards have been developed, but they are not clear. Approach to the use of formative assessment is rudimentary, including only some of the instructional outcomes. Teacher intends to use assessment results to plan for future instruction for the class as a whole. | Teacher's plan for student assessment is aligned with the instructional outcomes; assessment methodologies may have been adapted for groups of students. Assessment criteria and standards are clear. Teacher has a well-developed strategy for using formative assessment and has designed particular approaches to be used. Teacher intends to use assessment results to plan for future instruction for groups of students. | Teacher's plan for student assessment is fully aligned with the instructional outcomes, with clear criteria and standards that show evidence of student contribution to their development. Assessment methodologies have been adapted for individual students, as needed. The approach to using formative assessment is well designed and includes student as well as teacher use of the assessment information. Teacher intends to use assessment results to plan future instruction for individual |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.d; 4. b.c.d.f | | | |
| Elements include: | Congruence with instructional outcomes; Criteria and standards; Design of formative assessments; Use for planning | | | |

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| 2a: Creating an environment of respect and rapport | Patterns of classroom interactions, both between the teacher and students and among students, are mostly negative, inappropriate, or insensitive to students' ages, cultural backgrounds, and developmental levels. Interactions are characterized by sarcasm, putdowns, or conflict. Teacher does not deal with disrespectful behavior. | Patterns of classroom interactions, both between the teacher and students and among students, are generally appropriate but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, and disregard for students' ages, cultures, and developmental levels. Students rarely demonstrate disrespect for one another. Teacher attempts to respond to disrespectful behavior, with uneven results. The net result of the interactions is neutral: conveying neither warmth nor conflict. | Teacher-student interactions are friendly and demonstrate general caring and respect. Such interactions are appropriate to the ages of the students. Students exhibit respect for the teacher. Interactions among students are generally polite and respectful. Teacher responds successfully to disrespectful behavior among students. The net result of the interactions is polite and respectful. | Classroom interactions among the teacher and individual students are highly respectful, reflecting genuine warmth, caring, and sensitivity to students as individuals. Students exhibit respect for the teacher and contribute to high levels of civility among all members of the class. The net result of interactions is that of connections with students as individuals. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 2.d.f.h | | | |
| Elements include: Teacher interaction with students; Student interactions with other students | | | | |
| 2b: Establishing a culture for learning | The classroom environment conveys a negative culture for learning, characterized by low teacher commitment to the subject, low expectations for student achievement, little or no student pride in work and no evidence that students believe that they can succeed if they work hard. | The teacher's attempt to create a culture for learning is partially successful, with moderate teacher commitment to the subject, little evidence that students believe they can succeed if they work hard, modest expectations for student achievement, and little student pride in work. | The classroom culture is characterized by high expectations for most students, the belief that students can succeed if they work hard, and genuine commitment to the subject by both teacher and students, with students demonstrating pride in their work. | High levels of student energy and teacher passion for the subject create a culture of learning in which everyone shares a belief in the importance of the subject and the belief that students can succeed if they work hard. All students hold themselves to high standards of performance—for example, by initiating improvements to their work |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a)2. c.d.f.h; 3e | | | |
| Elements include: Importance of the content; Expectations for learning and achievement; Student pride in work | | | | |
| 2c: Managing classroom procedures | Much instructional time is lost due to inefficient classroom routines and procedures. There is little or no evidence of the teacher managing instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies effectively. There is little evidence that students know or follow established routines. | Some instructional time is lost due to only partially effective classroom routines and procedures. The teacher's management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies is inconsistent, leading to some disruption of learning. With regular guidance and prompting, students follow established routines. | There is little loss of instructional time due to effective classroom routines and procedures. The teacher's management of instructional groups and/or the handling of materials and supplies are consistently successful. With minimal guidance and prompting, students follow established classroom routines. | Instructional time is maximized due to efficient classroom routines and procedures. Students contribute to the management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies. Routines are well understood and may be initiated by students. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 2.a | | | |
| Elements include: Management of instructional groups; Management of transitions; Management of materials and supplies; Performance of non-instructional duties; | | | | |

Duval County Public Schools
CAST Rubric for Teachers

Domain II: The Classroom Environment
FEAP Update 8/2013

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2d: Managing Student Behavior | There is no evidence that standards of conduct have been established and little or no teacher monitoring of student behavior. Response to student misbehavior is repressive or disrespectful of student dignity. The teacher does not reinforce positive behavior. The teacher does not address off-task, inappropriate, or challenging behavior efficiently. Inappropriate and off-task student behavior has significant negative impact on the learning of students in the class. | It appears that the teacher has made an effort to establish standards of conduct for students and tries to monitor student behavior and respond to student misbehavior, but these efforts are not always successful. The teacher reinforces positive behavior. The teacher addresses some off-task, inappropriate, or challenging behavior efficiently. Inappropriate and off-task student behavior has some negative impact on the learning of students in the class. | Standards of conduct appear to be clear to students, and the teacher monitors student behavior against those standards. The teacher's response to student misbehavior is appropriate and respectful to students. The teacher strategically reinforces positive behavior. The teacher addresses most off-task, inappropriate, or challenging behavior efficiently. Inappropriate and off-task student behavior has little negative impact on the learning of students in the class. | Standards of conduct are clear, with evidence of student participation in setting them. The teacher's monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventive, and responses to student misbehavior is sensitive to individual student needs. Students actively monitor the standards of behavior. The teacher strategically reinforces positive behavior AND there is significant evidence that students reinforce positive classroom culture. The teacher addresses almost all off-task, inappropriate, or challenging behavior efficiently. Inappropriate and off-task behavior has no negative impact on student learning. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 2.b | | | |
| Elements include: Expectations; Monitoring of student behavior; Response to student misbehavior | | | | |
| 2e: Organizing physical space | The physical environment is unsafe, or many students don't have access to learning. Alignment between the physical arrangement and the lesson activities is poor. | The classroom is safe, and essential learning is accessible to most students. The teacher may attempt to modify the physical arrangement to suit learning activities with partial success | The classroom is safe, and learning is accessible to all students; the teacher ensures that the physical arrangement supports the learning activities. The teacher makes effective use of physical resources. | The classroom is safe, and the physical environment ensures the learning of all students, including those with special needs. Students contribute to the use or adaptation of the physical environment to advance learning. The teacher uses technology skillfully, as appropriate to the lesson. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 2.a.h | | | |
| Elements include: Safety and accessibility; Arrangement of furniture and use of physical resources | | | | |

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| 3a: Communicating with students | The instructional purpose of the lesson is unclear to students and the directions and procedures are confusing. Teacher's explanation of the content contains major errors. The teacher's spoken or written language contains errors of grammar or syntax. Vocabulary is inappropriate, vague, or used incorrectly, leaving students confused. | Teacher's attempt to explain the instructional purpose has only limited success, and/or directions and procedures must be clarified after initial student confusion. Teacher's explanation of the content may contain minor errors; some portions are clear; other portions are difficult to follow. Teacher's explanation consists of a monologue, with no invitation to the students for intellectual engagement. Teacher's spoken language is correct; however, vocabulary is limited, or not fully appropriate to the students' ages or backgrounds. | The instructional purpose of the lesson is clearly communicated to students, including where it is situated within broader learning; directions and procedures are explained clearly. Teacher's explanation of content is well scaffolded, clear and accurate, and connects with students' knowledge and experience. During the explanation of content, the teacher invites student intellectual engagement. Teacher's spoken and written language is clear and correct. Vocabulary is appropriate to the students' ages and interests. | The teacher links the instructional purpose of the lesson to student interests; the directions and procedures are clear and anticipate possible student misunderstanding. Teacher's explanation of content is thorough and clear, developing conceptual understanding through artful scaffolding and connecting with students' interests. Students contribute to extending the content, and in explaining concepts to their classmates. Teacher's spoken and written language is expressive, and the teacher finds opportunities to extend students' vocabularies. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 2e; 3.a.b.c.d.e.i.; 4a | | | |
| Elements include: | Expectations for learning; Directions and procedures; Explanations of content; Use of oral and written language | | | |
| 3b: Using questioning/prompts and discussion | Teacher's questions are of low cognitive challenge, single correct responses, and asked in rapid succession. Interaction between teacher and students is predominantly recitation style, with the teacher mediating all questions and answers. A few students dominate the discussion. | Teacher's questions lead students through a single path of inquiry, with answers seemingly determined in advance. Alternatively the teacher attempts to frame some questions designed to promote student thinking and understanding, but only a few students are involved. Teacher attempts to engage all students in the discussion and to encourage them to respond to one another, with uneven results. | While the teacher may use some low-level questions, he or she poses questions to students designed to promote student thinking and understanding. Teacher creates a genuine discussion among students, providing adequate time for students to respond, and stepping aside when appropriate. Teacher successfully engages most students in the discussion, employing a range of strategies to ensure that most students are heard. | Teacher uses a variety or series of questions or prompts to challenge students cognitively, advance high level thinking and discourse, and promote meta-cognition. Students formulate many questions, initiate topics and make unsolicited contributions. Students themselves ensure that all voices are heard in the discussion. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 3f | | | |
| Elements include: | Quality of questions; Discussion techniques; Student participation | | | |
| 3c: Engaging students in learning | The learning tasks and activities, materials, resources, instructional groups and technology are poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes, or require only rote responses. The pace of the lesson is too slow or rushed. Few students are intellectually engaged or interested. | The learning tasks or prompts are partially aligned with the instructional outcomes but require only minimal thinking by students, allowing most students to be passive or merely compliant. The pacing of the lesson may not provide students the time needed to be intellectually engaged. | The learning tasks and activities are aligned with the instructional outcomes and are designed to challenge student thinking, resulting in active intellectual engagement by most students with important and challenging content, and with teacher scaffolding to support that engagement. The pacing of the lesson is appropriate, providing most students the time needed to be intellectually engaged. | Virtually all students are intellectually engaged in challenging content, through well designed learning tasks, and suitable scaffolding by the teacher, and fully aligned with the instructional outcomes. In addition, there is evidence of some student initiation of inquiry, and student contributions to the exploration of important content. The pacing of the lesson provides students the time needed to intellectually engage with and reflect upon their learning, and to consolidate their understanding. Students may have some choice in how they complete tasks and may serve as resources for one another. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.a; 3.a.b.c.d.e.f.g | | | |
| Elements include: | Activities and assignments; Grouping of students; Use of instructional materials, resources and technology (as available); Structure and pacing | | | |

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| 3d: Using Assessment in Instruction | There is little or no assessment or monitoring of student learning; feedback is absent, or of poor quality. Students do not appear to be aware of the assessment criteria and do not engage in self-assessment. | Assessment is used sporadically to support instruction, through some monitoring of progress of learning by teacher and/or students. Feedback to students is general, and students appear to be only partially aware of the assessment criteria used to evaluate their work but few assess their own work. Questions/prompts/assessments are rarely used to diagnose evidence of learning. | Assessment is regularly used during instruction, through monitoring of progress of learning by teacher and/or students, resulting in accurate, specific feedback that advances learning. Students appear to be aware of the assessment criteria; some of them engage in self-assessment. Questions/prompts/assessments are used to diagnose evidence of learning. | Assessment is fully integrated into instruction, through extensive use of formative assessment. Students appear to be aware of, and there is some evidence that they have contributed to, the assessment criteria. Students self-assess and monitor their progress. A variety of feedback, from both the teacher and peers, is accurate, specific, and advances learning. Questions/prompts/assessments are used regularly to diagnose evidence of learning by individual students. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 3.c.i.j; 4.a.b.c.d.e.f | | | |
| Elements include: | Assessment criteria; Monitoring of student learning; Feedback to students; Student self-assessment and monitoring of progress | | | |
| 3e: Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness | Teacher adheres to the instruction plan in spite of evidence of poor student understanding or students' lack of interest. Teacher ignores student questions; when students experience difficulty, the teacher blames the students or their home environment. The teacher does not re-teach. | Teacher attempts to modify the lesson when needed and to respond to student questions and interests, with moderate success. Teacher accepts responsibility for student success, but has only a limited repertoire of strategies to draw upon. | Teacher promotes the successful learning of all students, making minor adjustments as needed to instruction plans and accommodating student questions, needs and interests. The teacher persists in seeking approaches for students who have difficulty learning, drawing on a broad repertoire of strategies. | Teacher seizes an opportunity to enhance learning, building on a spontaneous event or student interests or successfully adjusts and differentiates instruction to address individual student misunderstandings. Teacher persists in seeking effective approaches for students who need help, using an extensive repertoire of instructional strategies and soliciting additional resources from the school or community. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 3.d.j; 4.a.d | | | |
| Elements include: | Lesson adjustment; Response to students; Persistence | | | |

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| 4a: Reflecting on Teaching | The teacher does not accurately assess the effectiveness of the lesson and has no ideas about how the lesson could be improved. | The teacher provides a partially accurate and objective description of the lesson but does not cite specific evidence. The teacher makes only general suggestions as to how the lesson might be improved. | The teacher provides an accurate and objective description of the lesson, citing specific evidence. The teacher makes some specific suggestions as to how the lesson might be improved. | The teacher's reflection on the lesson is thoughtful and accurate, citing specific evidence. The teacher draws on an extensive repertoire to suggest alternative strategies and predicts the likely success of each. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a)1.3; (b) 1.a.b.c.d.e | | | |
| Elements include: Accuracy; Use in future teaching | | | | |
| 4b: Maintaining Accurate Records | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments and student progress in learning is nonexistent or in disarray. Teacher's records for non-instructional activities are in disarray, resulting in errors and confusion. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments and student progress in learning is rudimentary and only partially effective. Teacher's records for non-instructional activities are adequate, but require frequent monitoring to avoid errors. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments, student progress in learning, and non-instructional records, is fully effective. | Teacher's system for maintaining information on student completion of assignments, student progress in learning, and non-instructional records, is fully effective. Students contribute information and participate in maintaining the records. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.3 | | | |
| Elements include: Student completion of assignments; Student progress in learning; Non-instructional records | | | | |
| 4c: Communicating with Families | Teacher communication with families, about the instructional program, or about individual students, is sporadic or culturally inappropriate. Teacher makes no attempt to engage families in the instructional program. | Teacher makes sporadic attempts to communicate with families about the instructional program and about the progress of individual students but does not attempt to engage families in the instructional program. But communications are one-way and not always appropriate to the cultural norms of those families. | Teacher communicates frequently with families about the instructional program and conveys information about individual student progress. Teacher makes some attempts to engage families in the instructional program; as appropriate information to families is conveyed in a culturally appropriate manner. | Teacher's communication with families is frequent and sensitive to cultural traditions, with students contributing to the communication. Response to family concerns is handled with professional and cultural sensitivity. Teacher's efforts to engage families in the instructional program are frequent and successful. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 4.e; (b) 1.c | | | |
| Elements include: Information about the instructional program; Information about individual students; Engagement of families in the instructional program | | | | |
| 4d: Participating in a Professional Community | The teacher avoids participating in a professional community or in school and district events and projects; rarely collaborates with colleagues; and relationships with colleagues are negative or self-serving. | The teacher becomes involved in the professional community and in school and district events and projects when specifically asked, makes some effort to collaborate with colleagues, and relationships with colleagues are cordial. | The teacher participates actively in the professional community and in school and district events and projects, actively seeks out opportunities to collaborate with others, and maintains positive and productive relationships with colleagues. | The teacher makes a substantial contribution to the professional community and to school and district events and projects, collaborates with coaches/others through difficult situations, and assumes a leadership role among the faculty. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.e; (b) 1.a.b.c.d.e | | | |
| Elements include: Relationships with colleagues; Involvement in a culture of professional inquiry; Service to the school; Participation in school and district pro | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | Unsatisfactory (0 Points) | Developing/Needs Improvement (1 Point) | Effective (3 Points) | Highly Effective (5 Points) |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| 4e: Growing and Developing Professionally | The teacher does not participate in professional development activities and makes no effort to share knowledge with colleagues. The teacher is resistant to feedback from supervisors or colleagues | The teacher participates in professional development activities that are convenient or are required and makes some contributions to the profession. The teacher accepts feedback from supervisors and colleagues. | The teacher seeks out opportunities for professional development based on an individual assessment of needs and actively shares expertise with others. The teacher welcomes feedback from supervisors and colleagues. | The teacher actively pursues professional development opportunities and initiates activities to contribute to the profession. In addition, the teacher seeks feedback from supervisors and colleagues. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (a) 1.e; (b) 1.a.b.d.e; (b) 2 | | | |
| Elements include: Enhancement of content knowledge and pedagogical skill; Receptivity to feedback from colleagues; Service to the profession | | | | |
| 4f. Showing Professionalism | The teacher inconsistently adheres to standards for professional conduct and overall performance requirements, including attendance and punctuality. The teacher fails to comply with school and district regulations and timelines. The teacher has difficulty demonstrating respect, responsibility, honesty and integrity; requires frequent support supervision; resists feedback from colleagues and administrators and does not work cooperatively with school staff. | The teacher strives to adhere to standards for professional conduct and overall performance requirements, including attendance and punctuality. The teacher complies minimally with school and district regulations, doing just enough to get by. The teacher strives to develop behaviors that model the values of respect, responsibility, honesty and integrity. However, he or she requires some support supervision. He or she responds appropriately to and acts upon feedback. He or she works cooperatively with school staff. | The teacher consistently adheres to and models standards for professional conduct and overall performance requirements, including attendance and punctuality. The teacher complies fully and voluntarily with school and district regulations. Performs with minimum supervision. The teacher helps members of school community understand and adhere to these professional obligations, responds well to and acts upon feedback and works cooperatively with school staff. | The teacher consistently adheres to standards for professional conduct and overall performance requirements; including attendance and punctuality. The teacher complies fully and voluntarily with school and district regulations. Performs with minimum supervision. The teacher helps members of school community understand and adhere to these professional obligations. He or she actively seeks, responds well to and acts upon feedback. Community, families, and students are aware that the teacher models the values of respect, honesty and integrity. The teacher works cooperatively with school staff and actively encourages colleagues to do so. |
| | □ | □ | □ | □ |
| FEAP Alignment: | (b) 2 | | | |
| Elements include: Integrity and ethical conduct; Service to students; Advocacy; Logical thinking and making practical decisions; Attendance and punctuality; Compliance with school and district regulations | | | | |